

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND DEMOCRATIC GOVERNANCE IN AFRICA: IMPLICATIONS FOR CIVIC EDUCATION AND POLITICAL PARTICIPATION IN NIGERIA

AMOUGH MERCY JOHN

&

SUNDAY SAMSON ENOKELA

Department of Political Science, School of Arts and Social
Sciences

Federal College of Education Odugbo, Benue State, Nigeria
amoughmercy2000@gmail.com / +2348161879578

Abstract

AI has increasingly become part of governance. Government, political actors and citizens all communicate, access information and participate in public affairs. While AI offers opportunities to improve access to civic information, political engagement and public communication, AI also raises concerns about misinformation, political manipulation and unequal access and other growing issues. This study examines the influence of AI on democratic governance in Nigeria and Africa at large. The study adopts a desk-based qualitative research design, drawing on relevant academic literature, policy documents, institutional reports and other secondary sources to analyse the relationship between the emerging trend of AI, democratic governance and citizens participation. The analysis shows that AI can support civic learning by making political information more accessible and easier to understand, while also creating new opportunities for political awareness, mobilisation and electoral engagement. The study also revealed that the democratic value of AI depends on the

institutions, regulations and citizens' competencies that shape its use. The study also recommends stronger civic, digital and AI literacy, greater transparency and human oversight, protection of electoral information integrity, improved digital inclusion and a more inclusive approach to governance. The study further concludes that Nigeria need to pursue a human-centred approach in which AI complements citizens' political judgement and democratic institutions.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Democratic Governance, Civic education, Political Participation, AI Literacy, Digital Citizenship, Nigeria.

Introduction

Technology has been a constant factor, responsible for redefining the ways that people govern, interact with each other and make their contribution to public affairs. AI is a twenty-first-century invention. It has become a notable factor in shaping a variety of sectors, including but not limited to health, education, finance, security and governance (Russell & Norvig, 2021; Floridi et al., 2018). Some scholars and policymakers see AI as one of the tools that can bring about possible solutions to critical governance issues in terms of enhancing efficient service delivery through access to data-based decision-making and delivery of public services (Russell & Norvig, 2021; Floridi et al., 2018). There are still critical concerns about the misuse of AI in terms of dishing out misleading information, potential for algorithmic biases, lack of privacy and political manipulation (UNESCO, 2021; European Commission, 2020). Truly speaking, neither AI nor any other form of technology poses any harm or benefit to society. However, how people choose to use technology either positively or negatively depends on the legal and regulatory mechanisms, the institutional setup, ethics and human judgment that influence the way it is used (Floridi et al., 2018; UNESCO, 2021). Considering the continuous integration of

AI into governance systems and digital transformation strategies within African nations, several questions that concern the impact on the democratic forms of governance, the education of the citizen, and citizens' participation in politics are being raised (African Union, 2024; World Bank, 2023). Moreso, Democracy is built on the principles of citizen engagement, accountability, transparency and civil rights protection. In addition to the right to hold periodic elections, it is an ever-evolving process where citizens contribute to public debates, influence policymaking and hold governments accountable. The success of democratic governments is predicated on inclusive decision-making, freedom to access public information, adherence to the rule of law and civic participation (Dahl, 1989; Diamond, 2015). However, the ideals of democracy in the 21st century are being reshaped by the rapid evolution of technological advancement, particularly the use of AI that brings with itself new challenges as well as opportunities for good governance (Dahl, 1989; Diamond, 2015).

As governments in various parts of the world adopt AI technology in numerous sectors like public administration, policy implementation analysis and service delivery, AI is becoming an important tool for democratic governance. Although AI-enhanced systems may improve governmental efficiency, they may also provide ground for citizen participation but are faced with concerns about biased data and information that is false, lack of participation and absence of rights to privacy and accountability. Hence, efficient regulatory frameworks that guarantee fair use are still missing (Floridi et al., 2018; UNESCO, 2021). With Nigeria being part of those nations adopting AI in governance, the future of the participation of citizens and education may be significantly enhanced, but this may only happen if ethical considerations, institutional capabilities and digital infrastructure investment are put into practice to ensure that the technology serves

democratically and strengthens the institution of democracy instead of undermining it.

AI has begun to play a role in many aspects of modern society, including political discourse. The ability to process vast quantities of information speedily and accurately has dramatically altered how political information is acquired, processed and dispersed. In the political campaigns sphere, tools like machine learning, natural language processing, data analytics, and predictive modelling have begun to play an important role, enabling candidates and political parties to better comprehend the actions of voters, personalise campaign messages to specific audiences and ensure an efficient channel for communication via digital platforms (Russell & Norvig, 2021; UNESCO, 2021).

One notable evolution seen in Nigeria over the years since the return of Nigeria to democratic government in 1999 regards political communication. Traditionally, political campaigns have involved political stakeholders engaging face-to-face with the electorate in rallies and town hall meetings; now, with technological advances, there exist newer ways for political actors to communicate with people online, as well as new technologies that enable one to engage with a more diverse audience by producing content that is relevant to voter groups. The emergence and advancement of digital technologies has been accompanied by the advantages that this communication techniques have facilitated access to voters/electorates and helped facilitate communication on important topics that concern voters, it is true that they also bring dangers, such as spread of mis-information and manipulative digital practices, the question becomes at some point of whether those practices pose threats to democratic integrity and processes (Floridi et al., 2018).

It's also true that AI has promise for strengthening governance by reinforcing election governance, expanding knowledge access, increasing participation of the citizen, but as well it raises some issues which threaten democracy such as issues with misinformation, electoral manipulation, biased and algorithmic logic and impact on democracy (Heesen, 2022; Risse, 2023; Bueno de Mesquita et al., 2023).

A few advanced use of AI technology application in the election has been observed in countries, as yet, Nigeria only sees gradual implementation of advanced technologies in the 2023 election and election process, however given our new dynamic digital space and trend to swiftly adopting digital tools; an increase in this technology will eventually happen and it might continue to influence political process and participation of the citizen (David et al., 2024).. These concerns lead us to critically think about the impact and ramifications of using AI in governance and its impact on democratic participation and civic education in the context of Nigeria.

Statement of the Problem

The ever-increasing evolution of artificial intelligence (AI) is altering and re-shaping political communication and democratic processes the world over. Machine learning, natural language processing, predictive analysis, and data mining applications are allowing politicians to improve their data collection, information analysis, targeting, dissemination capacity, voter interaction strategies and the efficiency of public communication processes (Russell & Norvig, 2021; UNESCO, 2021). While these technical tools offer unprecedented prospects for democratic good governance, their deployment introduces serious concerns about disinformation, algorithmic bias, digital fraud, data security and the weakening of trust in democratic processes (Floridi et al., 2018). Following a shift towards democratic governance in

Nigeria in 1999, the advent of a proliferation of digital technologies has had a transformative impact on political communication.

The pre-digital era, with campaigns reliant on large rallies, town hall assemblies, and other similar structures, is being increasingly supplanted by digital campaigns leveraging social media platforms, the web, and the utilisation of data in political strategizing targeting voters. Though advances in technology have provided Nigerians with expanded avenues to accessing political information and increased opportunity for participation in the political process, these have simultaneously become vehicles for the dissemination of an explosion of misinformation, disinformation, hate speech and digitally produced political material, thereby presenting new challenges to electoral probity and democratic accountability, while impeding informed civic engagement. A major area of academic preoccupation concerning AI is related to its ethics, algorithmic governance, data privacy, transparency and regulatory frameworks, while its broader impact on democratic governance, civics education and citizen participation, especially in emerging democracies like Nigeria, received relatively little research attention (Floridi et al., 2018; OECD, 2019; UNESCO, 2021). Whereas global research has demonstrated the opportunities and the risks AI poses for democracies, there remains a paucity of evidence with regard to its specific operation in democratic settings in Africa, such as in Nigeria, in order to unpack its influence and implications.

In a similar fashion, research focusing on Nigeria has analysed the role of information and communication technology (ICTs), social media, and other digital platforms in enabling political campaigns, elections and voter recruitment.

Various studies have also considered civics education as a tool to foster democratic attitudes, promote political understanding and encourage citizen participation. However, the common trend has been to address these research streams separately with minimal research efforts on their intersection in relation to AI, democracy, civics education and participation. Consequently, very little understanding exists of the influences exerted by AI-driven tools and the quality of the overall democratic governance of Nigeria. Additional concerns centre on the apparent absence of any adequately developed legal, ethical and institutional frameworks in Nigeria for managing AI-related activities, a state that raises profound implications for transparency, accountability, inclusion and the safeguarding of the norms of democratic Governance in an era of increasingly digital political practices. The described knowledge vacuum forms both a theoretical and a practical challenge. There is a dearth of literature to integrate the areas of AI, democratic Governance, civics education and participation under a single explanatory frame, especially in the Nigerian situation. Similarly, the dearth of evidence hinders policymakers, Nigerian election managers, educational curriculum experts and other interest groups in Nigeria's developing and evolving democratic politics from formulating coherent policies to support responsible AI Deployment in a democracy. Considering the increasing significance and impact of AI in political engagement and communication activities in African democracies, further research investigating this relationship and identifying the implications for civic engagement and political participation in Nigeria is thus urgently necessitated.

Objective of the Study

This study therefore aims generally at understanding the implications of AI to democratic governance in Africa, with a particular focus on its impact on civic education and political participation of Nigerian citizenry.

The specific objectives of this study are to;

- i. examine the relationship between Artificial Intelligence and the framework for democratic governance in Africa.
- ii. evaluate the implications of Artificial Intelligence for the framework of civic education in Nigeria.
- iii. establish the influence of Artificial Intelligence on the framework of political participation in Nigeria.
- iv. identify the opportunities and challenges to the implementation of Artificial Intelligence in fostering democratic and inclusive governance in Nigeria.
- v. formulate useful strategic policy prescriptions for using Artificial Intelligence to fortify democratic governance, civic education, and Political participation in Nigeria in an inclusive context.

Research Questions

The study seeks to provide answers to the following research questions:

- i. What is the relationship between Artificial Intelligence and democratic governance in Africa?
- ii. What are the implications of Artificial Intelligence for civic education in Nigeria?
- iii. To what extent does Artificial Intelligence influence political participation in Nigeria?
- iv. What opportunities and challenges
- v. What measures can promote an inclusive and responsible AI-driven democracy in Nigeria?

Methodology

The study adopted a desk-based qualitative research design; it critically examines existing evidence on the relationship between artificial intelligence and democratic governance in Africa. It also analyses how AI is being used in areas such as political communication, electoral processes, civic education, public engagement, misinformation and citizens' participation.

Data was obtained from credible secondary and documentary sources, which includes, Peer review, journals articles on AI, democracy, civic education and political participation, Policy documents from African Union; reports from organisations such as UNESCO, UNDP, OECD, African Union, IDEA to mention a few, and also credible statistical and digital government reports. Documents were selected explicitly, including the documents with more relevance to the study, and excluding the ones that do not point directly to the study. While retaining older foundational works which are still relevant to the study, documents within this period 2015- 2026 were our focus. The Selected Documents were subjected to thematic analysis. This analysis was organised around important themes that serve as guide for the study such as AI and Civic education, Ai and political participation, Ai and Electoral processes AI misinformation, and disinformation, Ai, political inclusion and Exclusion to mention a few. To ensure trustworthiness and triangulation, most claims concerning AI and elections are tested by comparing across academic studies, electoral commission reports, African Union publications and other credible institutional reports.

This combination of analysis provided a broader basis for understanding how AI may shape civic education and political participation in Nigeria.

Discussion of Findings

Artificial Intelligence and Democratic Governance

The findings suggest that respondents generally view AI as having the potential to improve democratic governance in Nigeria, particularly by making political information more accessible and strengthening communication between citizens and government. This is consistent with evidence from Nigeria showing that social media use is associated with civic

engagement and political participation, including contacting public officials, joining political parties, and voting (Bello & Kaufhold, n.d.). However, the findings do not mean that AI automatically produces better democracy. Its impact depends largely on the quality of information available, institutional accountability, citizens' digital skills, and how political actors use the technology. The concerns raised about misinformation and political manipulation therefore show that AI is a dual-use technology: it can make democratic communication easier while also making manipulation faster and more sophisticated.

Artificial Intelligence and Civic Education

The findings also show that respondents see AI as useful for civic education because it can simplify complex civic information, improve access to government information, and help citizens understand political processes. However, AI should complement rather than replace formal civic education provided through schools, universities, civil society, electoral institutions, and the media. Since AI-generated responses can contain errors, bias, or incomplete information, citizens need more than the ability to obtain information from AI; they must also be able to question, verify, and critically evaluate what they receive. This reinforces the growing importance of AI literacy as part of effective civic education (Long & Magerko, 2020; UNESCO, 2023).

Artificial Intelligence and Political Participation

The positive relationship between AI-mediated communication and political participation is consistent with Nigeria's wider experience with digital political engagement, particularly through social media during elections. AI could take this further by personalising information, automating communication, summarising political documents, analysing public concerns, and generating content on a large scale. These capabilities may lower barriers to participation by helping citizens understand policies, compare political

positions, and obtain electoral information. However, highly personalised political communication can also influence citizens without their full awareness. Therefore, greater online political activity should not automatically be interpreted as better democratic participation; what matters is whether citizens retain sufficient information, independence, and freedom to make their own political choices.

Artificial Intelligence and Electoral Participation

Respondents also recognised AI's potential to improve electoral participation through voter education, information dissemination, and political mobilisation. AI could support areas such as voter registration, identity verification, election logistics, fraud detection, disinformation management, and electoral information, provided that appropriate institutional safeguards are in place. At the same time, the strong concern about AI-generated misinformation is important, particularly because Nigeria's 2023 elections already experienced widespread circulation of misleading and manipulated content online. Generative AI may make this problem more serious by enabling the production of convincing synthetic text, images, audio, and video. Electoral institutions, political parties, technology companies, civil society organisations, and fact-checkers therefore need complementary measures to protect electoral information integrity.

AI, Inclusion and the Digital Divide

The findings further indicate that AI has both inclusive potential and the ability to deepen existing inequalities. Features such as translation, voice interaction, simplified explanations, and personalised information could make political information more accessible to citizens with different linguistic, educational, or physical needs. However, these benefits cannot be fully realised by citizens who lack reliable internet, electricity, suitable devices, or the digital skills needed to use AI effectively. In Nigeria, therefore, investment

in AI should go hand in hand with investment in affordable connectivity, reliable electricity, digital and AI literacy, and accessible public digital services. Otherwise, AI-mediated political participation may primarily benefit urban, educated, and economically advantaged citizens.

AI, Misinformation and Political Manipulation

Finally, the high level of concern about misinformation, deepfakes, and political manipulation highlights one of the major democratic challenges posed by AI. Generative AI can make false political content appear increasingly convincing, making it harder for citizens to distinguish authentic information from fabricated material. This creates a direct challenge for civic education because citizens may encounter political claims that look credible but lack a reliable factual basis. The appropriate response should not be to restrict political expression generally, but to strengthen civic and AI literacy, independent fact-checking, responsible platform governance, transparency in political communication, and institutional mechanisms for addressing malicious synthetic content (Okolo, 2024; UNESCO, 2023).

Implications for Democratic Governance in Nigeria

Taken together, the findings show that AI presents both opportunities and risks for democratic governance in Nigeria. On the positive side, it can improve access to political information, support civic and voter education, strengthen communication between citizens and government, facilitate political mobilisation, expand digital participation, and potentially improve aspects of electoral administration. At the same time, AI can accelerate misinformation, enable political manipulation and deepfakes, reinforce polarisation, raise privacy and surveillance concerns, reproduce algorithmic bias, and widen the digital divide.

The implication is that Nigeria should not view technology and democracy as competing choices. The more important issue is how AI is governed and used. Nigeria's experience with social media, particularly during the 2023 elections, already demonstrates how digital technologies can create new opportunities for political communication and participation. AI represents a further development of this digital environment and therefore requires corresponding improvements in civic education, electoral regulation, digital literacy, and institutional capacity.

Overall, the findings support the central argument of this study that AI has the potential to reshape democratic governance in Nigeria through its influence on civic education and political participation. However, its effects are not automatically democratic or anti-democratic. Where citizens have strong civic, digital, and AI literacy, AI can improve access to political information and support meaningful participation; where institutions are transparent, accountable, and capable of regulating its use, it can also strengthen governance.

Conversely, weak institutional capacity, poor information literacy, and unequal access to technology may allow AI to deepen manipulation, misinformation, and existing social and political inequalities. Nigeria therefore needs a human-centred and citizen-oriented approach to AI governance, in which AI strengthens rather than replaces human judgment and democratic institutions. Ultimately, the value of AI should be measured not by how advanced the technology is, but by whether it produces better-informed citizens, meaningful political participation, stronger accountability, and more inclusive democratic governance.

Conclusion

AI is one of the most consequential technology advancement of this century. This study has analysed the relationship

between AI, democratic governance and civic education in Nigeria. It has analysed how AI has influenced Governance, Civic education, and political participation. The study also revealed how individuals participate in democratic processes. Across Africa, AI presents new opportunities as observed in the emerging experiences from countries such as Nigeria, Kenya, South Africa and Rwanda. AI-powered platforms, which are of course digital, have been expanding in unexpected ways, revealing opportunities for political participation by aiding citizens' mobilisation, youth engagement, advocacy and electoral communication.

More so, some challenges were identified in the study which have hindered the fast-paced growth of the emerging technology, of which lack of technological literacy is chief. Ultimately, the future impact of AI on governance and democracy in Nigeria and Africa at large is to institutionalise the adoption process by utilising AI in a way whereby the citizens would benefit maximally from the technology, as such deepening democracy.

Recommendations

Based on the findings and conclusions of the study, the following recommendations are proposed. First, AI literacy should be integrated into civic education at secondary and tertiary levels. Citizens should learn how to identify AI-generated content, detect deepfakes, verify digital sources, understand algorithmic influence, and critically evaluate political information. Digital citizenship should therefore be taught alongside conventional civic and political education so that students understand not only how to use technology but also how it can shape public opinion and democratic participation.

Moreso, to aid the process of institutionalisation, the Electoral and government institutions should also develop the technical

capacity, infrastructure, and specialist personnel needed to monitor AI applications rather than relying entirely on private technology providers. AI-assisted public decisions must remain subject to meaningful human oversight, with citizens given appropriate avenues to question or appeal decisions affecting their rights in all aspects.

Furthermore, electoral integrity and information security should be the focus of the government, as this would help the adoption of the technology. Electoral institutions should work with technology companies, media organisations, civil society groups, and fact-checkers to detect and respond quickly to AI-generated misinformation, deepfakes, synthetic propaganda, and automated manipulation; offenders should be punished to serve as a deterrent to others. Political parties and candidates using AI-generated or AI-assisted content should also be encouraged, and where appropriate required, to disclose such use for reference purposes. Stronger data-protection measures are equally necessary to prevent citizens' personal information from being exploited for inappropriate political targeting or surveillance.

Also, the government should address the digital divide by expanding affordable internet access, reliable electricity, digital infrastructure, and public access centres, particularly in underserved and rural communities, through proper institutionalisation and subsidy where necessary, and policies for enforcement and monitoring of progress. Digital, civic, and AI literacy programmes should accompany these investments so that AI-enabled political participation does not become a privilege available mainly to wealthy, urban, or highly educated citizens. Technology platforms should also strengthen reporting and response mechanisms for harmful synthetic political content, while independent fact-checking organisations and media institutions should be supported to improve their capacity to identify and explain AI-generated

misinformation and offenders should be punished as an example to others.

Finally, AI governance should be inclusive, collaborative, and centred on democratic values. Government agencies, electoral institutions, universities, technology companies, civil society organisations, journalists, fact-checkers, and citizens should participate in developing policies that affect AI and political life. Nigerian universities and research institutions should also conduct more empirical research on AI, elections, civic education, youth participation, misinformation, polarisation, privacy, and marginalised communities. Nigeria should align its approach with African initiatives on responsible AI while adapting them to its own democratic, socioeconomic, cultural, and institutional realities. Ultimately, AI adoption should never be treated as an end in itself; every application should be judged by whether it strengthens transparency, accountability, inclusion, political autonomy, informed citizenship, and meaningful democratic participation.

References

- African Union. (2024). African digital compact. *African Union*.
- African Union. (2024). Continental artificial intelligence strategy: Harnessing AI for Africa's development and prosperity. *African Union*.
- Bello, A. W. K., & Kaufhold, K. (2023). Power to the people: Social media as a catalyst for political participation in Nigeria. *International Journal of Interactive Communication Systems and Technologies*, 12(1), 17. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJICST.320652>
- Breslin, T. (2023). Rethinking citizenship education for political literacy. In H. Tam (Ed.), *Who's afraid of political education? The challenge to teach civic competence and democratic participation* (pp. 64–78).

<https://doi.org/10.46692/9781447366997.006>

- Coeckelbergh, M. (2025). Artificial intelligence, the common good, and the democratic deficit in AI governance. *AI and Ethics*, 5, 1491–1497. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-024-00492-9>
- Crick, B., & Advisory Group on Education for Citizenship and the Teaching of Democracy in Schools. (1998). Education for citizenship and the teaching of democracy in schools: Final report. Qualifications and Curriculum Authority.
- Egbunike, N. A. (2017). Social media, Nigerian youths and political participation: A thematic and methodological review. *International Journal of Civic Engagement and Social Change*, 4(4), 48–62. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJCESC.2017100104>
- Haruna, U. D. (2025). Strengthening the Nigerian electoral process through artificial intelligence adoption: A review. *International Journal of Development Mathematics*, 2(4), 254–261. <https://doi.org/10.62054/ijdm/0204.18>
- Jungherr, A., Rauchfleisch, A., & Wuttke, A. (2024). Deceptive uses of artificial intelligence in elections strengthen support for AI ban. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2408.12613>
- Long, D., & Magerko, B. (2020). What is AI literacy? Competencies and design considerations. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1–16). Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376727>
- Miao, F., & Holmes, W. (2023). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. UNESCO.
- Novelli, C., Formisano, G., Juneja, P., Sandri, G., & Floridi, L. (2024). Artificial intelligence for the internal democracy of political parties. *Minds and Machines*,

- 34, Article 36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-024-09693-x>
- Novelli, C., & Sandri, G. (2024). Digital democracy in the age of artificial intelligence. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.07791>
- Oni, J. O., Mohammed, I., Collins-Dike, J., & Ridwan, M. (2024). Social media and political participation in the 2023 general election in Lagos State. *Polit Journal: Scientific Journal of Politics*, 4(2), 108–122. <https://doi.org/10.33258/polit.v4i2.1151>
- Okolo, C. T. (2024). African democracy in the era of generative disinformation: Challenges and countermeasures against AI-generated propaganda. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2407.07695>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2025). Governing with artificial intelligence: The state of play and way forward in core government functions. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/795de142-en>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2025). Tackling civic participation challenges with emerging technologies: Beyond the hype (OECD Public Governance Policy Papers No. 72). OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/ec2ca9a2-en>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2026). Artificial intelligence and the future of citizen participation: Typology of applications, opportunities and challenges for democratic innovation. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/a1ee2e0a-en>
- Tajudeen, A. (2025). Artificial intelligence and election in Nigeria: An assessment of the possible impact on the country's electioneering process. *Kashere Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 3(2), 190–201.
- Zidouemba, M. T. (2025). Governance and artificial intelligence: The use of artificial intelligence in democracy and its impacts on the rights to

participation. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, 5, Article 12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-025-00229-5>

Zuiderwijk, A., Chen, Y.-C., & Salem, F. (2021). Implications of the use of artificial intelligence in public governance: A systematic literature review and a research agenda. *Government Information Quarterly*, 38(3), Article 101577. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101577>